

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
- 09/945,393		08/30/2001	Eugene P. Marsh	MI22-1728	3193
21567	7590	12/23/2004		EXAM	INER
WELLS ST			FOURSON III	FOURSON III, GEORGE R	
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2823	
•			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/945,393	MARSH, EUGENE P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George Fourson	2823				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day downleaply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7,20-27,35-38 and 45-54 is/are po	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,20-27,35-38 and 45-54</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	ACION OF IOTH PTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	·					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment/s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	, — —					

Application/Control Number: 09/945,393

Art Unit: 2823

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/29/04 has been entered.

Applicant requests that the Gau et al reference be considered. However, the reference was not attached to the paper filed 12/3/03 as alleged and is not present in the file.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Newly submitted claims 55 and 56 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the device as claimed can be produced by another materially different process such as CVD using mixed source gases.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits.

Accordingly, claims 55 and 56 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claims 1-7,20-27,35-38 and 42-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raaijmakers et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/945,393

Art Unit: 2823

The rejection is maintained as stated in the paper mailed 6/29/04 and as follows.

See [0057] where formation of a thinner layer 115 followed by further dielectric layers deposited by a similar ALD process is disclosed. See [0069] where different ratios of the different metals of a ternary dielectric is disclosed. See [0117] where it is disclosed that the process can be used to produce a slight doping effect as desired. See [0127] where it is disclosed that the process can be used to for dielectric stacks with enhanced dielectric properties and more stable structure.

In view of the disclosure that the amount of metals in the mixed metal oxide formed can be varied and that one of the aims of the variation is to produce dielectric stacks with enhanced dielectric properties, the same goal as that of applicant, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to the recited amounts of metal oxides in the dielectric stack produced.

Applicant appears to allege that unexpected results are obtained by the instant process because the range of dielectric constant of Zr oxide is lower than the range of dielectric constant for Ta oxide.

However, applicant has merely recognized a property that flows from the suggestions of the prior art as stated above. Further, the ranges of dielectric constant for the two materials overlaps indicating that in some cases one has a higher dielectric constant than the other and in others vice versa.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Fourson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri, can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2823

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

GFourson December 21, 2004